

Statement of Common Ground with Natural England

Revision 4

November 2025



RWE

Contents

1		INTRODUCTION	1
1	1	Purpose of this document1	
1	.2	Parties to this Statement of Common Ground2	
1	3	Terminology2	
2		RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT	3
2	2.1	Summary of consultation and engagement3	
3		CURRENT POSITION	6
4		SIGNATURES	28

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared to support the Examination of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application (the 'DCO Application') for Peartree Hill Solar Farm (the 'Proposed Development').
- 1.1.2 The DCO Application is for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) for the construction, operation (including maintenance) and decommissioning of a solar photovoltaic (PV) array electricity generating facility, Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and associated infrastructure which would allow for the generation and export of electricity.
- 1.1.3 The SoCG has been prepared collaboratively by the Applicant and the Consultee (Natural England).
- 1.1.4 The SoCG has been prepared in accordance with the Guidance for examination of DCO applications which was published in 2024 by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities¹.
- 1.1.5 This Guidance comments that:

"A statement of common ground is a written statement prepared jointly by the applicant and another party or parties, setting out any matters on which they agree, or indeed disagree. A SoCG helps to ensure that the evidence at examination focuses on the material differences between the main parties and therefore makes best use of the lines of questioning pursued by the Examining Authority'.

1.1.6 The aim of this SoCG is to therefore provide a clear position of the progress and agreement made or not made between the Applicant and Natural England on matters relating to the Proposed Development.

¹ Planning Act 2008: Examination stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (April 2024)

1.1.7 This SoCG has been updated at Deadline 5 to reflect the final position between the Applicant and Natural England.

1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.2.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) the Applicant and (2) Natural England.
- 1.2.2 Collectively, the Applicant and Natural England are referred to as 'the parties'.

1.3 Terminology

- 1.3.1 Section 3 of this document sets out the relevant matters raised though discussion between the parties. It provides a summary of the position of each party and identifies the status of discussions on each matter:
 - "Agreed" indicates where the issue has been resolved between the parties and is not anticipated to be subject to further discussions;
 - "Under discussion" indicates where a matter remains in active dialogue between the parties and a final position has not yet been reached;
 - "Not Agreed" indicates where the parties have established a final position that they cannot resolve the matter and will remain a point of difference.

2 Record of Engagement

2.1 Summary of consultation and engagement

2.1.1 The parties have been engaged in consultation and engagement throughout the development of the Proposed Development. **Table 1** shows a summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between the Applicant and Natural England in relation to the Proposed Development. This is limited to engagement which is materially relevant to the contents of this SoCG and does not seek to include every correspondence between the parties (e.g. that which was primarily administrative).

Table 1: Record of Engagement since February 2024

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
February – March 2024	To seek advice regarding the approach to bird surveys	Email correspondence to discuss existing bird survey data, surveys completed to date, and proposed future surveys.
March – April 2024	To consult on a draft version of the wintering bird survey report	Email correspondence to discuss a draft version of the wintering bird survey report (subsequently developed into the submitted ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.4: Wintering Bird Survey Report [APP-108]).
15 July 2024	To seek advice in relation to Habitats Regulations Assessment work.	Online meeting to discuss the methodology for assessment work and potential mitigation and enhancement measures in relation to European site qualifying species and functionally linked land.
July – September 2024	To consult on a draft version of the Agricultural Land Classification Report.	Email correspondence to discuss a draft version of the Agricultural Land Classification Report (subsequently developed into the submitted ES Volume 4, Appendix 10.2: Agricultural Land Classification Report [APP-127]) and potential impacts on Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land.
November 2024 – January 2025	To provide an update on the project, to outline how Natural England's statutory consultation comments had been	Email correspondence to consult on the following documents: Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy (for consultation purposes only - contents

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
	addressed in the relevant DCO Application documentation and to reach agreement on any outstanding issues prior to submission.	were subsequently incorporated into the submitted Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (Outline LEMP) [APP-156]); • A draft version of the Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment (later developed into the submitted Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-145]); and • A draft version of the Outline Soil Management Plan covering the grid connection cable route (later incorporated into the submitted Outline Soil Management Plan (Outline SMP) [APP-159]).
14 January 2025	To consult on the Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy and the draft version of the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment.	Online meeting to discuss Natural England's comments on the Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy and the draft version of the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment (shared on 25 November 2024).
3 February 2025	To provide comments in relation to glint and glare.	Email from Natural England providing points for consideration in relation to glint and glare.
March – April 2025	To clarify points in relation to the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [APP-156].	Email correspondence to address Natural England's queries on the submitted Outline LEMP [APP-156] in relation to the 'bird days' calculation and the amount of mitigation land proposed for European site qualifying bird species.
21 May 2025	Kick-off progress meeting for the Pre-examination/ Examination period.	Online meeting to discuss Natural England's relevant representation responses and how the Applicant proposes to address them.
21 July 2025	To share the grid connection bird survey report.	Email from the Applicant to share the Grid Connection Bird Survey Report [EN010157/APP/8.4].
July 2025	To set out the Applicant's proposed responses to	Email correspondence to share an updated draft version of the submitted

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
	some matters in Natural England's relevant representation.	Outline LEMP [APP-156] and the submitted Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-145].
4 September 2025	To consult with Natural England on proposed amendments to permissive path routes to minimise disturbance to mitigation areas.	Email from the Applicant explaining the proposed amendments to permissive path routes (later set out in the Third notification of proposed changes to the DCO Application [AS-017]).
11 September 2025	To discuss the key outstanding issues from Natural England's forthcoming Written Representations.	Online meeting to discuss the key outstanding issues from Natural England's Written Representations prior to submission of the document at Deadline 2, including the proposed amendments to permissive path routes.
October – November 2025	To discuss outstanding issues within Natural England's representations and this Statement of Common Ground.	Online meeting and follow-up email correspondence to discuss remaining 'under discussion' matters and to try and reach agreement where possible.

3 Current Position

- 3.1.1 The table below provides a summary of the final position of the Applicant and Natural England in relation to specific matters that have been under discussion to date.
- 3.1.2 Where a matter is not represented in the table, it should be assumed that it is either: (i) agreed between the parties and has not been the subject of detailed discussion; or (ii) not relevant to the discussion between the parties.

Table 2: Current position of the Applicant and Natural England in relation to specific matters that have been under discussion to date

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Internat	tional designated site	es - surveys		
NE01	Bird surveys – Land Areas Biodiversity	In their relevant representation [RR-012] (NE1e), Natural England considers that the bird survey effort for the Land Areas is acceptable to inform the assessment of impacts from loss of functionally linked land due to factors such as the number of surveys undertaken and the results obtained.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2], ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.4: Wintering Bird Survey Report [APP-108], ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.5: Ornithological Survey Report [APP-109], and ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.9: Passage Bird Survey Report [APP-113] for details.	Agreed
NE02	Bird surveys – grid connection cable route Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1e) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England welcomed the provision of the Grid Connection Bird Survey Report and the inclusion of the new data in the HRA but advised that further assessment should be provided regarding potential impacts to pink- footed geese. In their additional submission in lieu of attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2 (ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed that based on the updated information provided, it agrees that pink-footed geese will not be significantly affected by disturbance/	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [REP3-014] provides further consideration in relation to pink-footed goose recorded within the grid connection cable route. During the 2024/25 bird surveys, pink-footed goose were seen flying over on four occasions and were only recorded twice within the Order Limits. Although the numbers recorded within the Order Limits were more than 1% of the SPA population, the grid connection cable route is not considered to constitute functionally linked land for pink-footed goose given that they were not regularly	Agreed

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		displacement from functionally linked land	recorded, no Wetland Bird Survey alerts have	
		within the grid connection corridor during	been triggered for this species on the Humber	
		construction. This is due to factors including	Estuary, and their numbers within the Humber	
		the short-term nature of the construction	have shown increases in recent years	
		works within the grid connection corridor and	(following the national trend).	
		the highly mobile nature of pink-footed		
		geese. The agreed non-breeding bird		
		mitigation areas will also provide additional feeding areas for this species during this		
		period. Although Natural England does not		
		agree with the justification provided, it does		
		agree with the conclusion of no impacts on		
		geese.		
		good		
		Natural England considers this matter to be		
		resolved.		
Internat	tional designated site	s – mitigation areas		
NE03	Core mitigation	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	areas and the	(NE1a), Natural England noted that some	Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision	
	implementation of	further assessment of the habitat suitability	7] provides further assessment of the suitability	
	a buffer	and the capacity of each mitigation area to	and the potential carrying capacity of each	
	5	deliver for the intended species had been	mitigation area to deliver mitigation for the	
	Biodiversity	provided. However, Natural England advised	intended species.	
		that further details were needed regarding		
		the management and monitoring measures	The Applicant has provided an overall	
		to be implemented before it could concur with	conclusion justifying the suitability of the Site in	
		the conclusion that the mitigation areas are	its Response to Deadline 2 Submissions	

Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	sufficient to support the required bird	and Additional Information [REP3-039]. That	
	•		
	• •	-	
	•	addresses each respective issue.	
	•		
	In an online meeting on 11 September 2025,		
	. ,		
	In an online meeting on 15 October 2025,		
	Natural England confirmed that it was		
	satisfied that the Applicant had suitably		
Darmissive naths		The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
•			Agreeu
• •		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Permissive paths and the proposed mitigation areas	sufficient to support the required bird numbers and species. Natural England advised that the required details could be provided through responses to issues NE1b, NE1c, NE1d, NE1e and NE1g in its written representation [REP2-154] but also requested that an overall conclusion justifying the suitability of the site should be provided. In an online meeting on 11 September 2025, Natural England acknowledged that it was not possible to physically extend the capacity of mitigation areas at this stage, but the capacity can be increased through effective management and monitoring of the mitigation areas. In an online meeting on 15 October 2025, Natural England confirmed that it was satisfied that the Applicant had suitably addressed this matter by providing further details on the management and monitoring measures to be implemented. Permissive paths and the proposed In their relevant representation [RR-012] (NE1b), Natural England noted that Figure	sufficient to support the required bird numbers and species. Natural England advised that the required details could be provided through responses to issues NE1b, NE1c, NE1d, NE1e and NE1g in its written representation [REP2-154] but also requested that an overall conclusion justifying the suitability of the site should be provided. In an online meeting on 11 September 2025, Natural England acknowledged that it was not possible to physically extend the capacity of mitigation areas at this stage, but the capacity can be increased through effective management and monitoring of the mitigation areas. In an online meeting on 15 October 2025, Natural England confirmed that it was satisfied that the Applicant had suitably addressed this matter by providing further details on the management and monitoring measures to be implemented. Permissive paths and the proposed sufficient to support the required bird douciment also contains detailed answers to issues NE1b NE1c, NE1d, NE1e and NE1g from Natural England's written representation [REP2-154] and sets out how the Outline Ind

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		[APP-058] detailed proposed permissive	to the east and outside Mitigation Area 11	
	Biodiversity	walking routes around mitigation areas 9 and	(Field E6). The permissive path that previously	
		11 and advised that the impact of these	formed a loop around Mitigation Area 9 (Field	
		paths on the functionality of the proposed	D18) has been altered to only include a path	
		mitigation areas should be assessed in the	along the northern boundary, allowing access	
		HRA.	from an adjacent equine centre to the	
			permissive path network. Appendix D of the	
		Natural England confirmed via email on 11	Outline Landscape and Ecological	
		November 2025 that it welcomes the	Management Plan [REP4-073] that was	
		changes made to the permissive footpaths,	submitted at Deadline 4 was updated to show	
		specifically the removal of the permissive	the re-routed sections of permissive path.	
		path loops around mitigation area 9 (Fields		
		D18) and 11 (Field E6), and the	Paragraph 6.3.8 was added to the Outline	
		implementation of post and wire fencing	Landscape and Ecological Management	
		alongside permissive paths which run	Plan [REP4-073] that was submitted at	
		adjacent to and through ground nesting bird	Deadline 4 to provide further information	
		and Humber Estuary SPA/Ramsar mitigation	regarding mitigation area fencing and	
		areas. Natural England welcomes that these	associated signage. Section 16.3 of the	
		fences will be 1m high, with a 75mm-by-	Outline Landscape and Ecological	
		75mm mesh, and considers this to be	Management Plan [REP4-073] that was	
		sufficiently robust to prevent the movement	submitted at Deadline 4 was updated with	
		of dogs and people onto the mitigation areas.	further detail regarding the amended	
			permissive path routes and management of	
		Natural England welcomes that fencing will	hedgerows where they are required to prevent	
		be accompanied by 'positive' signage	permissive path users and dogs from entering	
		highlighting the reasons for the fencing,	the bird mitigation areas.	
		including, for example, pictures of the		

Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	relevant bird species, in order to improve public engagement with the restrictions.		
	short leads and remain on designated paths.		
	Natural England welcomes that where		
	areas, these will be appropriately managed		
	to ensure that they remain dense from		
	ground level, with gaps filled as required.		
	Natural England considers this matter to now		
Hydrology of the	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
proposed	(NE1c) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural	Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision	
mitigation areas	1 9		
Lludrology and	1	· · ·	
	1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, , ,	
1 1000 1 (10)	·		
	England concurs that mitigation areas 11 and	(Field D18). An initial review indicates that	
	13 are likely to be suitable for scrape creation	Mitigation Areas 11 and 13 are suitable	
	and agrees that appropriate micro-siting of	locations to create scrapes successfully.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
	Hydrology of the	relevant bird species, in order to improve public engagement with the restrictions. Natural England also welcomes that signage will encourage path users to keep dogs on short leads and remain on designated paths. Natural England welcomes that where hedgerows prevent permissive path users and dogs from entering the bird mitigation areas, these will be appropriately managed to ensure that they remain dense from ground level, with gaps filled as required. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved. Hydrology of the proposed mitigation areas Hydrology and Flood Risk Repeated to improve public endersor to keep dogs on short leads and remain on designated paths. Natural England welcomes that where hedgerows prevent permissive path users and dogs from entering the bird mitigation areas, these will be appropriately managed to ensure that they remain dense from ground level, with gaps filled as required. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved. In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1c) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England welcomed the further information provided regarding the hydrology and soil types within the proposed scrape mitigation areas, and the predicted suitability of the locations for scrape creation. Natural England concurs that mitigation areas 11 and 13 are likely to be suitable for scrape creation	relevant bird species, in order to improve public engagement with the restrictions. Natural England also welcomes that signage will encourage path users to keep dogs on short leads and remain on designated paths. Natural England welcomes that where hedgerows prevent permissive path users and dogs from entering the bird mitigation areas, these will be appropriately managed to ensure that they remain dense from ground level, with gaps filled as required. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved. In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1c) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England welcomed the further information provided regarding the hydrology and soil types within the proposed scrape mitigation areas, and the predicted suitability of the locations for scrape creation. Natural England concurs that mitigation areas 11 and 13 are likely to be suitable for scrape creation and agrees that appropriate micro-siting of the scrapes can be determined by pre-

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		In their additional submission in lieu of attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2 (ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed that the Applicant had sufficiently addressed its request for further information to be provided regarding the 'suitable mitigation' measures that will be implemented, to ensure that the scrapes will remain hydrologically isolated from the wider retained under-field drains because if scrape excavation goes through the pipes or sits above them, the scrapes are unlikely to hold sufficient water. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	regarding the suitability and the potential carrying capacity of each mitigation area to deliver mitigation for the intended species and to set out that pre-construction hydrological studies are proposed to determine the exact locations of the scrapes within the mitigation areas. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 7] secures that scrapes will be implemented, monitored and maintained to ensure suitable wetland habitat is in place for the duration of construction and operational phases of the Proposed Development. The Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [REP3-014] includes cross-references to relevant sections of the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 7].	
NE06	Management of the proposed mitigation areas Biodiversity	In their additional submission in lieu of attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2 (ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed that the Applicant had satisfactorily addressed its request set out in its written representation [REP2-154] (NE1d) seeking further information on monitoring and management of bird mitigation areas.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 7] addresses each bullet point from item 1d in Natural England's written representation [REP2-154]. Details of how these points have been addressed are set out in the Applicant's Response to Deadline 2 Submissions and Additional Information [REP3-039].	Agreed

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved. Although not a material concern, Natural England recommended that the remedial measures in Tables 15-3 and 16-3 of the Outline LEMP should be revised to incorporate 'appropriate measures to increase invertebrate numbers', such as muck spreading, grazing animals or other locally appropriate methods. Muck spreading relies upon a suitable source of livestock manure, so other options to increase invertebrate numbers should be explored where necessary.	The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 7] has been updated, and is submitted at Deadline 4, to amend the proposed remedial actions in relation to increasing invertebrate numbers in line with Natural England's recommendations. The final management and monitoring protocol in the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan will be agreed in consultation with Natural England at the detailed design stage.	
NE07	'Bird days' calculation methodology Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1f), Natural England welcomed the clarification provided in Appendix E of the Outline LEMP, having previously requested that the lapwing and golden plover 'bird days' requirements be considered separately in order to ensure that the mitigation provided is sufficiently large enough to deliver for the combined number of both species recorded. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The 'bird days' calculations within Appendix E of the updated Outline LEMP [REP1-056] that was submitted at Deadline 1 were redone to consider lapwing and golden plover separately and to take account of the data in the Grid Connection Cable Route Bird Survey Report [REP1-072] , which was also submitted at Deadline 1.	Agreed

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
NE07a	In combination impacts	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1g), Natural England noted that Carr Farm Solar Farm, which is situated adjacent	The Applicant welcomes this response. The proposed mitigation areas for Carr Farm Solar Farm are directly adjacent to mitigation area 13	Agreed
	Biodiversity	to mitigation area 11, had recently been consented. Natural England advised, therefore, that further assessment should be	(Fields E13 and E14) and therefore compliment the Proposed Development's proposed mitigation.	
		provided in the in-combination assessment regarding the potential for this development to impact on openness and sightlines for mitigation area 11.	Although mitigation area 11 (Field E6) is adjacent to a proposed solar panel area for Carr Farm Solar Farm on its western edge, the	
		Additionally, Natural England advised that the in-combination assessment should	two are separated by Holderness Drain, either side of which are tall hedgerows that will provide screening from the proposed solar PV	
		consider recently submitted planning application 25/02275/STPLF, which is situated in close proximity to the site, and includes land within the red line boundary.	modules. It is therefore considered that mitigation area 11 (Field E6) is still appropriate. Section 7.2 of the Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform	
		In an online meeting on 15 October 2025, Natural England confirmed that the Applicant had suitably addressed its requests via updates to the in-combination assessment in the HRA.	Appropriate Assessment [REP3-014] includes further information explaining why the consented Carr Farm Solar Farm will not affect the functionality of mitigation area 11 (Field E6).	
		Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	Table 8-1 of the Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [REP3-014] includes planning application 25/02275/STPLF	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			in the in-combination assessment. However, it	
			is worth noting that the application has not yet	
			provided sufficient information to identify	
			potential impacts to European designated	
			sites.	
Internat	ional designated sites	s – potential noise and visual disturbance impac	ts to functionally linked land	
NE08	Potential noise	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	and visual	(NE2a), Natural England welcomed that, as	pattern of bird activity within and adjacent to	
	disturbance	set out in the HRA [REP1-015] and secured	the Order Limits, including the grid connection	
	impacts to	in the Outline CEMP [REP1-050], completion	cable route was for small numbers of birds	
	functionally linked	of activities most likely to disturb birds (e.g.	being widely distributed with no particular	
	land for relevant	piling) will be undertaken outside of the	hotspots. The Habitats Regulations	
	qualifying bird	period October to March within the fields	Assessment - Information to inform	
	features of the	adjacent to the mitigation areas (i.e. Fields	Appropriate Assessment [REP3-014] has	
	listed SPA/	E4, E5, E15, E17 and D17) and that should	considered that the agricultural land within	
	Ramsar sites	this not be possible, acoustic barriers will be	these areas comprises functionally linked land	
	during	installed for the construction period. Natural	for golden plover, lapwing, mallard, teal, and	
	construction	England confirmed that consideration of	black-headed gull, and that on a precautionary	
		noise and visual disturbance to the mitigation	basis, construction noise (albeit short term and	
	Biodiversity	areas is now resolved.	temporary) could cause disturbance/	
			displacement of these species. The Habitats	
		In their additional submission in lieu of	Regulations Assessment - Information to	
		attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2	inform Appropriate Assessment [REP3-014]	
		(ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed	(see paragraphs 7.3.15 to 7.3.17) clarifes that	
		that further noise modelling is not required	the measures included in the Outline CEMP	
		regarding the potential impacts of noisy	[EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 6] will be	
		works on areas where birds have been	implemented to mitigate the effect of	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		recorded in significant numbers outside of the Order Limits and in the grid connection	disturbance/ displacement of relevant bird features of the Humber Estuary SPA/Ramsar	
		corridor based on the additional information	within and adjacent to the Order Limits,	
		provided by the Applicant and the	including the grid connection cable route, as	
		implementation of agreed noise mitigation	well as the mitigation areas. This list includes	
		measures included in the Outline CEMP.	the measures suggested by Natural England.	
		Natural England suggests that mitigation		
		measures may include (one or a combination		
		of) restrictions to timing of works, buffer		
		zones, acoustic screening, piling shrouding or other measures.		
		or other measures.		
		Natural England considers this matter to now		
		be resolved.		
		s – potential disturbance to lamprey		
NE09	Potential	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	disturbance	(NE3) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural	Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision	
	impacts to	England welcomed the updated information	5] secures the measures relating to distance of	
	lamprey	provided in the HRA and confirmed	HDD pits from Main Rivers, depth of HDD	
	Biodiversity	agreement with the conclusions that there would not be adverse effects on the integrity	under the River Hull and insulation of cabling under the River Hull.	
	Diodiversity	of the Humber Estuary SAC/ Ramsar	didei tile itivei i idii.	
		resulting from vibration/ noise disturbance	While the Applicant cannot commit to	
		impact to lamprey resulting from HDD or	restrictions on timing of the HDD works under	
		electromagnetic field impacts once proposed	the River Hull at this stage, given that	
		mitigation is taken into account (i.e. HDD pits	construction phasing has not been finalised, it	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		would be positioned a minimum of 50m from	will adhere to these timings where possible. In	
		the River Hull; HDD under the River Hull	the unlikely event that it is not possible to avoid	
		would be at a minimum depth of 7m; cabling	the lamprey migration period, no adverse	
		under the River Hull would include an	effects are anticipated, given that the HDD	
		insulating layer made of XLPE, also known	under the River Hull would be at a minimum	
		as cross-linked polyethylene, or similar; and	depth of 7m, short-term (estimated to take a	
		the preferred timing of the HDD work would	maximum of 24 hours), and that fish without a	
		be spring/ summer, i.e. April to September, to	swim bladder (such as lamprey) have the	
		avoid the peak lamprey migration period).	lowest sensitivity to noise/ vibration. See the	
		Natural England canaidare this matter to new	Habitats Regulations Assessment -	
		Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4]	
		be resolved.	for details.	
Internati	l ional designated site	s – potential water quality impacts	Tor details.	
NE10	Potential	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	abstraction	(NE4) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural	updated Habitats Regulations Assessment -	7 .g
	impacts resulting	England confirmed it was satisfied that the	Information to inform the Appropriate	
	from HDD	Applicant had addressed its request for	Assessment [REP1-015] that was submitted	
		clarification on the source of water for HDD.	at Deadline 1 confirmed that the water for HDD	
	Biodiversity	Natural England welcomes that the water	will be brought to site and removed mention of	
		required for HDD will be brought to site and	abstraction. No water will be abstracted from	
		not abstracted from the River Hull or a	the River Hull or a tributary of the Humber	
		tributary of the Humber Estuary.	Estuary for the HDD. Wastewater from the	
			HDD wastewater (including bentonite) will be	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	incarcerated within the launch pit and	
		be resolved.	transported to a specialised local facility for	
			disposal. The updated Outline CEMP [REP1-	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			050] that was submitted at Deadline 1 secured	
			these commitments.	
NE11	HDD (bentonite	In their additional submission in lieu of	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	breakout)	attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2	procedures prescribed in the Construction	
		(ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed	Environmental Management Plan will be in line	
	Construction	that the Applicant has sufficiently addressed	with the Principal Contractor's method	
		its concerns set out within its written	statements. Natural England will be consulted	
		representation [REP2-154] (NE5) submitted	on bentonite breakout procedures included in	
		at Deadline 2, in relation to information on	the Construction Environmental Management	
		bentonite breakout management within the Outline CEMP [REP1-050].	Plan prior to construction commencing.	
			The measures in the Outline CEMP	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	[EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 6] are secured	
		be resolved.	by the Construction Environmental	
			Management Plan under requirement 4 of the	
			Draft DCO [EN010157/APP/3.1 Revision 8].	
			Natural England has been added as a statutory	
			consultee on the Construction Environmental	
			Management Plan, secured under requirement	
			4 of the Draft DCO [EN010157/APP/3.1	
			Revision 8] in relation to measures relating to	
			bentonite breakout.	
NE12	Cleaning of solar	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	PV modules	(NE6a) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural	updated Habitats Regulations Assessment -	
		England welcomed the updated information	Information to inform Appropriate	
	Water Resources	provided in the HRA and Outline OEMP	Assessment [REP1-015] that was submitted	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		confirming that solar PV modules will be	at Deadline 1 confirmed that the solar PV	
		cleaned using deionised water only.	modules will be cleaned using deionised water	
			only and therefore there would be no impacts	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	on water quality as a result of cleaning the	
		be resolved.	solar PV modules. The updated Outline OEMP	
			[REP1-052] that was submitted at Deadline 1	
			secured the details of solar PV module	
			cleaning.	
NE13	Fire suppression/	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	protocol	(NE6b) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural	updated Outline Battery Safety Management	
		England welcomed the updated information	Plan [REP1-058] that was submitted at	
	Water Resources	provided in the Outline Battery Safety	Deadline 1 provided details on the automatic	
		Management Plan and HRA confirming that a	clean agent (aerosol or gas) fire suppression	
		non-water based fire suppression system	system that would be used in the event of a	
		would be used. Natural England notes that if	battery fire. The use of a non-water-based	
		water is required, it will only be used to cool	system reduces the need for large volumes of	
		areas adjacent to the BESS, and will	on-site water and reduces the risk of	
		therefore not contain any chemicals or	contamination of adjacent habitats from	
		firefighting compounds after use.	firewater. Water would only be used to cool	
			areas adjacent to a BESS to prevent fire	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	spread, rather than being used to attempt to	
		be resolved.	directly fight a fire within the BESS. The	
			updated Habitats Regulations Assessment -	
			Information to Inform the Appropriate	
			Assessment [REP1-015] that was submitted	
			at Deadline 1 included an assessment of	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			potential likely significant effects from the fire	
			suppression system.	
Nationa	al designated sites			
NE14	Humber Estuary Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE7) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England confirmed it was satisfied that the Humber Estuary SSSI has now been scoped into the assessment within ES Chapter 7 as a separate ecological receptor. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Humber Estuary SSSI is considered as a separate ecological receptor in the updated ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [REP1-019] that was submitted at Deadline 1. As agreed with Natural England, where the reasons for the SSSI designation correspond to qualifying features of the Humber Estuary European sites, the assessment includes cross-references to the Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4]. Those features not included on the citations for the European sites are assessed in ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [REP1-019].	Agreed
Protect	ed species			
NE15	Water vole and otter Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE8a) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England confirmed that the updated information provided in the Outline CEMP satisfactorily addressed its request in relation to surveys for water vole (that for the	The Applicant welcomes this response. The updated ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [REP1-019] and Outline CEMP [REP1-050] that were submitted at Deadline 1 included commitments to undertake:	Agreed

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		smallest, least impactful works surveys should be undertaken within the footprint of the works, including temporary work areas plus 100m upstream and downstream in line with the Water Vole Mitigation Handbook guidance) and otter (that surveys should cover 'all suitable habitat within 200m of the proposed works', in line with NatureScot guidelines).	 Pre-construction water vole surveys 100m upstream and downstream of proposed culverts and watercourse crossing points which affect watercourses assessed as 'suitable but poor to optimal suitability' within ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.7: Water Vole and Otter Habitat Suitability Report [APP-111]; and 	
		Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	Pre-construction otter surveys of suitable habitat within 200m of the proposed works.	
NE16	Badger Biodiversity	In their additional submission in lieu of attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2 (ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England noted the additional information provided at Deadline 3 regarding badger in response to its written representation [REP2-154] (NE8b) but	Table 7.6 of ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [REP4-063] states that 'where reasonably practicable, construction work will not take place within 30m from active badger setts'.	Agreed
		advised an amendment to the relevant commitment in the Outline CEMP. Natural England confirmed via email on 11 November 2025 that it welcomes the update provided in the Outline CEMP that where possible, intrusive groundworks within 30m of badger setts will be avoided in the first instance. Where this is not possible, the	As secured within the Outline CEMP [REP4-027] , appropriate badger mitigation will be determined by the results of the preconstruction badger surveys and will be detailed within the Construction Environmental Management Plan. The relevant commitment in the Outline CEMP [REP4-027] that was submitted at Deadline 4 was updated to state that where possible, intrusive groundworks,	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		mitigation/licence requirements detailed will be implemented.	including hard piling or major excavations, will avoid impact to land within 30m of an active badger sett. If avoidance is not possible,	
		Natural England also welcomed that paragraph 4.2.3 of the Outline OEMP states that "Clearances above ground, or mammal gates, will be included to permit the passage of wildlife" and consider that this point is now resolved. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	appropriate mitigation would be undertaken in consultation with Natural England and, if required, setts permanently or temporarily closed under licence. Should pre-construction surveys and micro-siting indicate likely disturbance to a sett, then the Applicant would apply for the appropriate licence either to live dig under ecological supervision or to temporarily close the sett.	
			The pre-construction badger survey will determine if badger gates are required and the Construction Environmental Management Plan will provide specific information.	
NE17	Breeding birds Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE8d), Natural England welcomed that the Outline LEMP had been updated to include clear objectives, defined targets, details of limits of acceptable change and details of remedial actions.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] that was submitted at Deadline 1 included clear objectives, defined targets, details of limits of acceptable change and details of remedial actions in relation to breeding birds, where appropriate. Clarification has been	Agreed
		In an online meeting on 15 October 2025, Natural England confirmed that the Applicant had suitably addressed its request for	provided in the updated Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 6], which is submitted at Deadline 3, on how national	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		clarification on how national trends will be	trends in bird populations will be considered as	
		considered in the assessment of bird	part of monitoring and that this will only be one	
		numbers and 'success' of the mitigation	of a suite of factors that will be considered.	
		areas. National declines in bird numbers		
		should not remove the need to consider the	With regard to potential disturbance from	
		influence of site-level factors such as habitat	permissive paths please refer to the	
		management on bird counts. Consideration	Applicant's response to item NE1b in its	
		should also be given to the advice provided	Response to Deadline 2 Submissions and	
		in NE1b regarding permissive footpaths in	Additional Information	
		the proposed mitigation areas.	[EN010157/APP/8.14], which is submitted at	
			Deadline 3 (also summarised in Ref. N04	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	above in this table).	
		be resolved.		
Biodive	rsity Net Gain (BNG			
NE18	BNG – general	In their relevant representation [RR-012]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
		(NE9), Natural England is satisfied with the	headline figures for BNG, based on the	
	Biodiversity	Applicant's assessment of BNG. Natural	Indicative Environmental Masterplan contained	
		England welcomes that the whole application	within Appendix D of the Outline LEMP	
		site within the Order Limits has been	[EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3], are 62.36%	
		included in the BNG assessment, all gains	for area habitats, 46.48% for hedgerow	
		are on-site, and gains will be monitored and	habitats and 12.50% of watercourse habitats.	
		managed for the operational life of the	See ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.10:	
		Proposed Development. Natural England	Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment [APP-	
		also welcomes that a precautionary approach	114] for more details.	
		has been taken regarding watercourses (i.e.		
		assuming 'good' condition for baseline		

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		calculations where river condition		
		assessments were not available). Natural		
		England recommends that recommends that		
		biodiversity net gain of at least 10% BNG		
		across all biodiversity unit types is secured		
		via the LEMP.		
		Natural England considers this matter to now		
		be resolved.		
Soils a	nd Agricultural Land	Classification (ALC)		
NE19	Grid connection	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. A	Agreed
11210	cable route ALC	(NE10), Natural England reiterated that it	detailed ALC and soil survey has not been	7 tg: 00 u
	and soil surveys	does not consider it best practice to defer a	undertaken of the grid connection cable route	
		detailed ALC and soil survey of the grid	at this stage. However, the Outline SMP	
	Agricultural Land	connection cable corridor until after final	[EN010157/APP/7.8 Revision 2] covers the	
		design and land-take confirmation.	full Order Limits, including the grid connection	
		Natural England recommends a bespoke	cable route. As set out in the Outline SMP	
		soil-investigation programme agreed at an	[EN010157/APP/7.8 Revision 2], it is	
		early stage, proportionate to the site's scale	proposed that detailed soil/land quality surveys	
		and complexity. Agreeing a truncated survey	of the grid connection cable route will be	
		now and postponing comprehensive	undertaken pre-construction, once the design	
		sampling risks under-estimating local soil	and land needed for excavation is confirmed,	
		variability, agricultural sensitivity and	thus avoiding unnecessarily surveying the	
		reinstatement challenges and it potentially	entire width of the proposed grid connection	
		undermines the integrity of the eventual Soil	cable route corridor. The Outline SMP	
		Management Plan.	[EN010157/APP/7.8 Revision 2] describes the	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		However, Natural England confirmed via email on 5 November 2025 that if the ExA determines that the Applicant's proposed approach is acceptable, micro siting of the cable route should be informed by any surveys undertaken, where possible. Natural England welcomes the Applicant's commitment in 4.2.4 of the Outline SMP that "The ALC grade along the route will be calculated so as to inform the works and so as to enable the ALC grade to be retained post-installation of the cable."	survey work that will be carried out prior to drafting the Soil Management Plan, and the consultation process that will be in-built prior to finalising the Soil Management Plan before works commence. This is aligned with the approach taken on the recently consented East Yorkshire Solar Farm (EN010143), where Natural England accepted the approach of undertaking soil and ALC surveys of the cable corridor "once the final route has been determined" ² .	
		Natural England notes that the Applicant's proposed approach is in line with the approach taken on the recently consented East Yorkshire Solar Farm (EN010143). Natural England's advice on this issue is intended as guidance only and it agrees with the Applicant that it is for the ExA to determine whether the approach is acceptable in this case.		

 $^2\,\underline{https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010143/EN010143-000692-Natural\%20England.pdf}$

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
NE20	Soil handling Construction	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE11), Natural England welcomed the updated information provided in the Outline Soil Management Plan stating that soil handling will be principally confined to the period April to October to minimise risk of soil damage. Natural England states that soil handling should normally be avoided during the winter period, irrespective of soil moisture conditions, because it will generally not be possible to establish green cover over winter to help dry out soils and protect them from erosion. Appropriate timing of soil handling would minimise the need to recondition soils, which requires additional space and time. This is particularly important for land to be restored to agricultural use. Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The updated Outline SMP [REP1-062] that was submitted at Deadline 1 stated April through October as the drier summer period to which soil handling will, so far as possible, principally be confined (it previously incorrectly stated November through April). Soil handling cannot be restricted to only April to September due to programme constraints; however, as stated in the Outline SMP [REP1-062], should site work during the winter period be unavoidable, the more resilient SHU A soils will be prioritised for working on during this period. Additionally, site inspections of the soil condition prior to vehicle movements across the Site will be required, particularly during wet weather conditions.	Agreed
Other				
NE21	Glint and glare (general) Glint and Glare	Natural England confirmed via email on 8 August 2025 that it is satisfied with the Applicant's response to its advice that post- consent monitoring should include consideration of the potential disruption to	The Applicant welcomes this response. Post- consent monitoring of the potential disruption to flight pathways of qualifying bird species due to glint and glare (to help inform future assessments) forms part of the monitoring	Agreed

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		flight pathways of qualifying bird species as a	strategy outlined within the Outline LEMP	
		result of glint and glare, in order to help	[EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3].	
		inform future assessments. Although Natural		
		England accepts that there is no established	It should be noted that the effect on the	
		methodology for monitoring to study the	integrity of the populations of the qualifying	
		effects of glint and glare on birds and is not	species of Hornsea Mere SPA or Humber	
		aware of any examples of such monitoring	Estuary SPA/ Ramsar sites in relation to	
		that has previously been undertaken, it	disruption of flight paths as a result of glint and	
		suggests that observing bird behaviour	glare has been assessed as part of the	
		around the panels may be appropriate.	Habitats Regulations Assessment -	
			Information to inform Appropriate	
			Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision	
			2], which concludes no adverse effects.	

4 Signatures

4.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground is agreed upon:

On behalf of Natural England:

Name:	
Signature:	

Date: 18/11/2025

On behalf of the Applicant:

Name:

Signature:



Date: 27/11/2025

RWE Renewables UK Limited

Windmill Hill Business Park, Whitehill Way, Swindon, Wiltshire, England, SN5 6PB www.rwe.com